Acousto-optic effect in random media

Jeremy G. Hoskins
*Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109**

John C. Schotland
Department of Mathematics and Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109†

(Dated: December 13, 2016)

We consider the acousto-optic effect in a random medium. We derive the radiative transport equations that describe the propagation of multiply-scattered light in a medium whose dielectric permittivity is modulated by an acoustic wave. Using this result, we present an analysis of the sensitivity of an acousto-optic measurement to the presence of a small absorbing inhomogeneity.

arXiv:1612.03474v1 [physics.optics] 11 Dec 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

The acousto-optic effect refers to the scattering of light from a medium whose optical properties are modulated by an acoustic wave. Brillouin scattering from density fluctuations in a fluid [1] and the ultrasonic modulation of multiply-scattered light [2] are familiar examples of this effect. It is well known that the scattered optical field carries information about the medium. This principle has been exploited to develop an imaging modality, known as acousto-optic imaging, which combines the spectroscopic sensitivity of optical methods with the spatial resolution of ultrasonic imaging. Two forms of acousto-optic imaging are usually distinguished. Direct imaging employs a focused ultrasound beam for image formation [3–17]. The image is created by scanning the focus of the beam and recording the intensity of the scattered light at a fixed detector. Tomographic imaging utilizes an inverse scattering method to reconstruct images of the optical properties of the medium [20, 22, 29–35].

The theory of the acousto-optic effect begins with a model for the propagation of electromagnetic waves in a material medium. The most general such model is based on the Maxwell equations for a dielectric whose permittivity is modulated by an acoustic wave [1]. Alternatively, for multiply-scattered light, a phenomenological theory based on the radiative transport equation (RTE) or the diffusion approximation (DA) to the RTE may be employed [18–21]. In this paper, we develop a *first-principles* theory of the acousto-optic effect. We begin by constructing a model for the acoustic modulation of the dielectric permittivity of a medium consisting of small scatterers suspended in a fluid. Next, we consider the propagation of light in the medium and obtain the wave equations obeyed by the frequency components of the optical field at harmonics of the acoustic frequency. We then obtain the corresponding RTE by asymptotic analysis of the Wigner transform of the field in a random medium. We note that the problem is challenging because the random medium acquires a time-dependence due to the presence of the acoustic field. We apply our results to estimating the minimum detectable size of a small inhomogeneity in acousto-optic imaging.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Our model for the acousto-optic effect is introduced in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we use this model to derive the RTE. The corresponding DA is discussed in Sec. IV. Sec. V discusses the application of the obtained DA to the problem of detecting a small inhomogeneity. Our conclusions are formulated in Sec. VI. Several appendices contain the technical details of long calculations.

II. ACOUSTO-OPTIC EFFECT

In this section we develop a simple model for the acousto-optic effect. The setup we consider is illustrated in Figure 1.

A. Model

We begin by considering a medium consisting of identical neutrally-buoyant spherical particles suspended in a fluid. We suppose that an acoustic wave propagates in the fluid, the effect of which is to cause the particles to move under the associated radiation force. If the amplitude of the acoustic wave is sufficiently small, the particles will oscillate about their equilibrium positions. It is then possible to treat the motion of each particle as independent, neglecting hydrodynamic interactions. It follows that the equation of motion of a single particle is of the form

$$\varrho \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} = -\nabla p + \frac{4\pi a\eta}{V}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \, . \tag{1}$$

Here $\mathbf{u}$ denotes the velocity of the particle, p is the pressure, $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity field in the fluid, η is the viscosity, a is the radius of the particle, ϱ is its mass density and $V = 4\pi a^3/3$. Consider a standing time-harmonic acoustic wave with pressure

$$p(\mathbf{x}, t) = p_0 \cos(\Omega t) \cos(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \, , \tag{2}$$

where p_0 is the amplitude of the wave, Ω is its frequency and $\mathbf{Q}$ is the wave vector. Here we have assumed that

* jhoskin@umich.edu
† schotland@umich.edu



FIG. 1. Illustrating the acousto-optic effect in a random medium.

the speed of sound c_s is constant with $Q = \Omega/c_s$. The corresponding velocity field is given by

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{p_0}{\varrho\Omega} \sin(\Omega t) \sin(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})\mathbf{Q} \ . \tag{3}$$

Thus apart from a transient, the particle moves with the fluid. Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N$ denote the positions of the particles and

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j(t)) \tag{4}$$

their density. Since each particle is independent, it follows from integration of the equations of motion (1) that ρ is given by

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \rho_0(\mathbf{x}) \left[1 + \delta \cos(\Omega t) \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})\right] \ , \tag{5}$$

where ρ_0 is the number density of the particles in the absence of the acoustic wave and $\delta = p_0/(\rho c_s^2)$ is a small parameter. Note that taking $\delta \ll 1$ is consistent with the neglect of hydrodynamic interactions. We conclude that the number density of particles is modulated by the acoustic wave.

Next, we turn to the propagation of light in the medium. For simplicity, we ignore the effects of polarization and employ a scalar theory of the optical field. The field $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is taken to obey the wave equation

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\left(\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)u\right) = \Delta u \ , \tag{6}$$

where ε is the dielectric permittivity of the medium and c is the speed of light in vacuum. The permittivity is of the form

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) = \varepsilon_0(\mathbf{x}, t) + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x}, t) \ , \tag{7}$$

where ε_0 is the permittivity of the fluid and η is the dielectric susceptibility of the particles. The permittivity of the fluid is acoustically modulated and is given by

$$\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{x}, t) = \varepsilon_0 \left[1 + \delta\gamma \cos(\Omega t) \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})\right] \ , \tag{8}$$

where ε_0 is the permittivity of the fluid in the absence of the acoustic wave and γ is the elasto-optical constant [1]. The fluid is taken to be nonabsorbing, so that ε_0 is purely real, positive and frequency independent. In addition, we suppose that the particles are small in size in comparison to the wavelength of light. That is, we treat the particles as point scatterers [24]. The susceptibility is then given by $\eta(\mathbf{x}, t) = \alpha\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$, where α is the polarizability of a single particle. Using (5), we see that $\eta(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is given by

$$\eta(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta(\mathbf{x}) \left[1 + \delta \cos(\Omega t) \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})\right] \ , \tag{9}$$

where $\eta(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha\rho_0(\mathbf{x})$.

We suppose that the field u is monochromatic with frequency ω and time-dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$. It will prove useful to decompose u in harmonics of the acoustic frequency according to

$$u(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(\omega + n\Omega)t} u_n(\mathbf{x}) \ . \tag{10}$$

It follows from (6) that the Fourier components u_n obey the system of coupled Helmholtz equations

$$\Delta u_n + k_n^2 \left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) u_n = -\frac{\delta k_n^2}{2} \left(\gamma\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) \left(u_{n-1} + u_{n+1}\right) \ , \tag{11}$$

where $k_n = (\omega + n\Omega)/c$. Note that if $u_0 = O(1)$ then $u_n = O(\delta^n)$. Here we do not consider modes u_n for $|n| \geq 2$ and close the equations (10) as

$$\Delta u_0 + k_0^2 \left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) u_0 = -\frac{\delta k_0^2}{2} \left(\gamma\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) \left(u_{-1} + u_1\right) \ , \tag{12}$$

$$\Delta u_1 + k_1^2 \left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) u_1 = -\frac{\delta k_1^2}{2} \left(\gamma\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) u_0 \ , \tag{13}$$

$$\Delta u_{-1} + k_{-1}^2 \left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) u_{-1} = -\frac{\delta k_{-1}^2}{2} \left(\gamma\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) u_0 \ . \tag{14}$$

Furthermore, the right hand side of (12) can be neglected since it is $O(\delta^2)$. The above equations thus become

$$\Delta u_0 + k_0^2 \left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) u_0 = 0 \ , \tag{15}$$

$$\Delta u_1 + k_1^2 \left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) u_1 = -\frac{\delta k_1^2}{2} \left(\gamma\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) u_0 \ , \tag{16}$$

$$\Delta u_{-1} + k_{-1}^2 \left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) u_{-1} = -\frac{\delta k_{-1}^2}{2} \left(\gamma\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\eta(\mathbf{x})\right) \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) u_0 \ . \tag{17}$$

Note that in this form, the equations for u_0 and $u_{\pm 1}$ are decoupled. For the remainder of the paper, we will take (15)–(17) to be the equations governing the acousto-optic effect.

B. Homogeneous medium

We now consider the case of a homogeneous fluid medium and put the susceptibility of the particles $\eta \equiv 0$. Evidently, the fundamental mode u_0 acts as a source of the first harmonics $u_{\pm 1}$. In addition, the modes $u_{\pm 1}$ are independent. Note that u_{-1} can be obtained from u_1 by performing the replacement $k_1 \to k_{-1}$. The solution to (16) is given by

$$u_1(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma\varepsilon_0\delta k_1^2 \int d^3x' G(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}') u_0(\mathbf{x}') \ . \tag{18}$$

Here the Green's function G, which obeys the equation

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} G(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') + \varepsilon_0 k_1^2 G(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = -\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') \ , \tag{19}$$

is given by

$$G(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = \frac{e^{i\sqrt{\varepsilon_0}k_1|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} \ . \tag{20}$$

If the field u_0 is a unit-amplitude plane wave of the form

$$u_0(\mathbf{x}) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \ , \quad k = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0}k_0 \ , \tag{21}$$

Name	Symbol	Value
Propagation distance	L	1 cm
Acoustic frequency	Ω	10^6 Hz
Optical frequency	ω	10^{15} Hz
Speed of sound	c_s	1.5×10^5 cm s^{-1}
Mass density	ϱ	1 g cm^{-3}
Pressure amplitude	p_0	10^6 g cm^{-1} s^{-2}
Absorption coefficient	μ_a	0.1 cm^{-1}
Scattering coefficient	μ_s	10 cm^{-1}
Transport mean free path	ℓ^*	0.1 cm
δ	$p_0/(\varrho c_s^2)$	$O(10^{-4})$
ϵ	λ/L	$O(10^{-4})$

=-‘1

TABLE I. Values of parameters arising in the acousto-optic effect. The numbers chosen are representative of biological tissue.

we find that u_1 is given by

$$u_1(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{4}\delta k'^2 \left[\frac{1}{(\mathbf{Q}+\mathbf{k})^2 - k'^2} e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{(\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{k})^2 - k'^2} e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{Q})\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right] , \tag{22}$$

where $k' = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0}k_1$. Evidently for fixed $\mathbf{Q}$, there is a resonance if the incident wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ obeys the condition

$$|\mathbf{Q} \pm \mathbf{k}| = k' \ . \tag{23}$$

Since $\Omega \ll \omega$, it follows that $k \simeq k'$. Thus (23) becomes

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2}\right)^2 = \pm\mathbf{k}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \ , \tag{24}$$

which we recognize as the Bragg condition [1]. Equivalently,

$$\cos\theta = \pm\frac{Q}{2k} \ , \tag{25}$$

where θ is the angle between $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$. That is, a resonance occurs for $\theta \simeq \pm\pi/2$. We note that the presence of absorption in the fluid prohibits the formation of a resonance. That is, the denominators in (22) can never vanish if ε_0 acquires even a small imaginary part.

III. RADIATIVE TRANSPORT

We now turn to the theory of the acousto-optic effect in random media. We take the modes u_0 and u_1 to obey (15) and (16), and assume that the susceptibility η is a random field with correlations

$$\langle\eta\rangle = 0 \ , \tag{26}$$

$$\langle\eta(\mathbf{x})\eta(\mathbf{x}')\rangle = C(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') \ , \tag{27}$$

where $\langle\cdots\rangle$ denotes statistical averaging. We assume that the medium is statistically homogeneous and isotropic. That is, the correlation function $C(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$ depends only upon the quantity $|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|$.

To make further progress, we must consider the relative sizes of the important physical scales. This leads us to introduce two small parameters: $\delta = p_0/(\varrho c_s^2)$ and $\epsilon = 1/(k_0 L)$, where L is the distance over which the optical

field propagates. According to Table I, we see that $\epsilon = O(\delta)$. Henceforth, we will put $\delta = \epsilon$, which can always be arranged by adjusting the strength of the amplitude p_0. Now, the solutions of (15) and (16) oscillate on the scale of the optical wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/k_0$. However, we are interested in the behavior of the solutions on the macroscopic scale $L \gg \lambda$. To this end, we rescale the position $\mathbf{x}$ by $\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}/\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \ll 1$. In addition, we assume that the randomness is sufficiently weak so that the correlation function C is of the order $O(\epsilon)$. Thus (15) and (16) become

$$\epsilon^2 \Delta u_\epsilon + k_0^2 \left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\sqrt{\epsilon}\eta(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon)\right) u_\epsilon = 0 \ , \tag{28}$$

$$\epsilon^2 \Delta v_\epsilon + k_1^2 \left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\sqrt{\epsilon}\eta(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon)\right) v_\epsilon = -\frac{\epsilon k_1^2}{2} \left(\gamma\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\sqrt{\epsilon}\eta(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon)\right) \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) u_\epsilon \ , \tag{29}$$

where $u_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) = u_0(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon)$ and $v_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) = u_1(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon)$. Note that we have rescaled the susceptibility η by $\eta \to \sqrt{\epsilon}\eta$ to be consistent with the condition that C is $O(\epsilon)$. We also note that we have not rescaled the term $\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})$ since it is slowly varying on the scale of the optical wavelength. That is, the random medium does not vary on the same scale as the periodic modulation of the fluid. It will prove useful to rewrite (28) and (29) in the form

$$\epsilon^2 \Delta \phi_\epsilon + k_0^2 \left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\sqrt{\epsilon}\eta(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon)\right) \phi_\epsilon = -\frac{\epsilon k_0^2}{2} \left(\gamma\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\sqrt{\epsilon}\eta(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon)\right) \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) A\phi_\epsilon \ , \tag{30}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \phi_\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} u_\epsilon \\ v_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{31}$$

and since $\Omega \ll \omega$, we have made the approximation $k_0 \simeq k_1$.

We now turn to the derivation of the RTE. The Wigner transform of ϕ_ϵ is defined as

$$W_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) = \int \frac{d^3x'}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}'} \phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x} - \epsilon\mathbf{x}'/2)\phi_\epsilon^\dagger(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon\mathbf{x}'/2) \ . \tag{32}$$

The Wigner transform is a 2×2 Hermitian matrix that is related to the energy density and energy current of the modes by

$$\phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\phi_\epsilon^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) = \int W_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) d^3k \ , \tag{33}$$

$$\frac{i\epsilon}{2}\left[\phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\nabla\phi_\epsilon^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla\phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\phi_\epsilon^\dagger(\mathbf{x})\right] = \int \mathbf{k} W_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) d^3k \ . \tag{34}$$

We will see that the Wigner transform plays the role of a phase-space energy density. We note that the Wigner transform is not directly measurable. Nevertheless, in the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit, the average of W_ϵ may be interpreted as the specific intensity in radiative transport theory.

It can be shown that W_ϵ obeys the Liouville equation

$$\mathbf{k}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} L_1 + L_2 + \sqrt{\epsilon} L_3\right) W_\epsilon = 0 \ . \tag{35}$$

Here the operators L_1, L_2 and L_3 are defined by

$$L_1 W_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) = 2\pi i k_0^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon} \hat{\eta}(\mathbf{p}) \left[W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right) - W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right)\right] \ , \tag{36}$$

$$L_2 W_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) = \frac{i}{8}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0^2 A\left[e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right) + e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right] - \frac{i}{8}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0^2 \left[e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right) + e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right] A^\dagger \ , \tag{37}$$

$$L_3 W_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) = \frac{i}{2}\pi k_0^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon} \hat{\eta}(\mathbf{p}) A\left[e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right) + e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right] - \frac{i}{2}\pi k_0^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon} \hat{\eta}(\mathbf{p}) \left[e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right) + e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right] A^\dagger \ . \tag{38}$$

See Appendix A for the derivation of the above result.

We now consider the asymptotics of the Wigner transform in the high-frequency limit $\epsilon \to 0$. We will see that averaging over realizations of the random medium leads directly to the required radiative transport equations. Following standard procedures [25, 26], we introduce a multiscale expansion for the Wigner transform of the form

$$W_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) = W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) + \sqrt{\epsilon} W_1(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\xi},\mathbf{k}) + \epsilon W_2(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\xi},\mathbf{k}) + \cdots \ , \tag{39}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{x}/\epsilon$ is a fast variable and W_0 is taken to be deterministic. We then regard $\mathbf{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ as independent

and make the replacement

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \to \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \ . \tag{40}$$

Eq. (35) thus becomes

$$\epsilon \mathbf{k}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon + \mathbf{k}\cdot\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} W_\epsilon + \left(\sqrt{\epsilon}L_1 + \epsilon L_2 + \epsilon^{3/2}L_3\right) W_\epsilon = 0 \ . \tag{41}$$

Substituting (39) into (41) and collecting terms of order $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{k}\cdot\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} W_1 + L_1 W_0 = 0 \ . \tag{42}$$

The above equation is readily solved for W_1 with the result

$$\widetilde{W}_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}) = 2\pi k_0^2 \tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{q}) \frac{W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}/2) - W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}/2)}{\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{k} + i\theta} \ . \tag{43}$$

Here the Fourier transform of W_1 is defined by

$$\widetilde{W}_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}) = \int d^3\xi e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}} W_1(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\xi},\mathbf{k}) \tag{44}$$

and θ is a small positive regularization parameter that will be set to zero later in the calculation.

At $O(\epsilon)$ we find that

$$\mathbf{k}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} W_0 + \mathbf{k}\cdot\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} W_2 + L_1 W_1 + L_2 W_0 = 0 \ , \tag{45}$$

where $L_2 W_0$, as defined in (37), is evaluated at $\epsilon = 0$. The RTE may be derived by averaging (45) over the random field η. To proceed, we make the assumption $\langle \mathbf{k}\cdot\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} W_2\rangle = 0$, which is consistent with the stationarity of $W_2(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\xi},\mathbf{k})$ in $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. We find that (37) becomes

$$\mathbf{k}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} W_0 + 2\pi i k_0^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon} \langle \tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{p}) \left[W_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}/2) - W_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}/2)\right]\rangle + \frac{i}{4}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0^2 \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) \left(AW_0 - W_0 A^\dagger\right) = 0 \ , \tag{46}$$

where we have used the fact that W_0 is deterministic. Next, we substitute the expression (43) for W_1 into (46) and, upon carrying out the indicated average, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{k}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} W_0 + \frac{i}{4}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0^2 \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) \left(AW_0 - W_0 A^\dagger\right) \\ &= k_0^4 \int d^3p \delta\left(\frac{p^2}{2} - \frac{k^2}{2}\right) \tilde{C}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}) \left[W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}) - W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k})\right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

See Appendix B for the details of this calculation. Note that the presence of the delta function in the above result indicates that W_0 depends only upon the direction $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$. It is then convenient to define the specific intensity I, phase function f and scattering coefficient μ_s by

$$\delta(k-k_0) I(\mathbf{x},\hat{\mathbf{k}}) = W_0(\mathbf{x}, k\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \ , \tag{48}$$

$$f(\hat{\mathbf{k}},\hat{\mathbf{k}}') = \frac{\tilde{C}(k_0(\hat{\mathbf{k}}-\hat{\mathbf{k}}'))}{\displaystyle\int \tilde{C}(k_0(\hat{\mathbf{k}}-\hat{\mathbf{k}}'))d\hat{\mathbf{k}}'} \ , \tag{49}$$

$$\mu_s = k_0^4 \int \tilde{C}(k_0(\hat{\mathbf{k}}-\hat{\mathbf{k}}'))d\hat{\mathbf{k}}' \ . \tag{50}$$

Making use of the above definitions, we find that (47) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} I + \mu_s I + \frac{i}{4}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0 \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) \left(AI - IA^\dagger\right) \\ &= \mu_s \int d\hat{\mathbf{k}}' f(\hat{\mathbf{k}},\hat{\mathbf{k}}') I(\mathbf{x},\hat{\mathbf{k}}') \ . \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

We note that μ_s and f are given in terms of correlations of the medium. Since the susceptibility η is statistically homogeneous and isotropic, $\tilde{C}(k_0(\hat{\mathbf{k}}-\hat{\mathbf{k}}'))$ depends only on the quantity $|\hat{\mathbf{k}}-\hat{\mathbf{k}}'|$, and therefore $f(\hat{\mathbf{k}},\hat{\mathbf{k}}')$ depends solely on $\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}'$. Likewise, μ_s does not depend on the direction $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$. Finally, we point out that in the case of white noise disorder, the correlation function $C(\mathbf{x}) = C_0\delta(\mathbf{x})$, where C_0 is constant. We find that

$$\mu_s = 4\pi k_0^4 C_0 \ , \quad f = 1/(4\pi) \ , \tag{52}$$

which corresponds to isotropic scattering. More generally, if the medium consists of identical discrete scatterers, μ_s and A are related to the total scattering cross section and differential scattering cross section, respectively [26].

Eq. (51) can be expressed as a system of coupled equations of the form

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} I_{00} + \mu_s I_{00} - \mu_s L I_{00} = 0 \ , \tag{53}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} I_{01} + \mu_s I_{01} - \mu_s L I_{01} = \frac{i}{4}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0 \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) I_{00} \ , \tag{54}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} I_{10} + \mu_s I_{10} - \mu_s L I_{10} = -\frac{i}{4}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0 \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) I_{00} \ , \tag{55}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} I_{11} + \mu_s I_{11} - \mu_s L I_{11} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0 \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{Im} I_{01} \ , \tag{56}$$

where the operator L is defined by

$$LI(\mathbf{x},\hat{\mathbf{k}}) = \int f(\hat{\mathbf{k}},\hat{\mathbf{k}}')I(\mathbf{x},\hat{\mathbf{k}}')d\hat{\mathbf{k}}' \ . \tag{57}$$

Eqs. (53)–(56) are the main result of this paper. They may be understood as a system of RTEs that describe the acousto-optic effect in random media. The quantity I_{00} is the specific intensity of light at the fundamental frequency and (53) is the corresponding RTE. Similarly, I_{11} is the specific intensity of the first harmonic; it obeys the RTE (56). We note that I_{01} and I_{10} are related to correlations of the modes u_0 and u_1.

IV. DIFFUSION APPROXIMATION

In this section, we consider the diffusion limit of the radiative transport theory developed in Section III. We begin by recalling the diffusion approximation (DA) for a RTE of the form

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}I + (\mu_a+\mu_s)I - \mu_s LI = Q \ , \tag{58}$$

where μ_a is the absorption coefficient and Q is the source. The DA is obtained by expanding I in angular harmonics [27]. To lowest order, it can be seen that

$$I(\mathbf{x},\hat{\mathbf{k}}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(U(\mathbf{x}) - \ell^*\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\nabla U(\mathbf{x})\right) \ . \tag{59}$$

Here the energy density U obeys the diffusion equation

$$-\frac{1}{3}\ell^*\Delta U + \mu_a U = S \ , \tag{60}$$

Here the source $S = \int Q d\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ and the transport mean free path ℓ^* is defined by

$$\ell^* = 1/\left[(1-g)\mu_s + \mu_a\right] \ , \quad g = \int \hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}' f(\hat{\mathbf{k}},\hat{\mathbf{k}}')d\hat{\mathbf{k}}' \ , \tag{61}$$

where g is the anisotropy of scattering. We note that $-1 \le g \le 1$ and $g = 0$ for isotropic scattering. The DA holds when $\ell^*|\nabla U| \ll U$ and breaks down in optically thin layers, in weakly scattering or strongly absorbing media, and near boundaries.

Using the above results, we can immediately construct the DA for (53)–(56). We find that

$$I_{00}(\mathbf{x},\hat{\mathbf{k}}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(U_{00}(\mathbf{x}) - \ell^*\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\nabla U_{00}(\mathbf{x})\right) \ , \tag{62}$$

$$I_{01}(\mathbf{x},\hat{\mathbf{k}}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(U_{01}(\mathbf{x}) - \ell^*\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\nabla U_{01}(\mathbf{x})\right) \ , \tag{63}$$

$$I_{11}(\mathbf{x},\hat{\mathbf{k}}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(U_{11}(\mathbf{x}) - \ell^*\hat{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\nabla U_{11}(\mathbf{x})\right) \ . \tag{64}$$

Here the corresponding energy densities obey

$$-\frac{1}{3}\ell^*\Delta U_{00} + \mu_a U_{00} = \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0) \ , \tag{65}$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}\ell^*\Delta U_{01} + \mu_a U_{01} = \frac{i}{4}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})U_{00} \ , \tag{66}$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}\ell^*\Delta U_{11} + \mu_a U_{11} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})\mathrm{Im}U_{01} \ , \tag{67}$$



FIG. 2. Contour plot of U_{11} in the $y = 0$ plane.

where $\mathbf{x}_0$ is the position of a unit-amplitude point source. Since $U_{10} = U_{01}^*$, we have omitted the diffusion equation obeyed by U_{10} and the corresponding specific intensity I_{10}. Note that we have introduced the absorption coefficient μ_a in (65)–(67) by hand. This is necessary to regularize the divergence arising from the scattering resonance.

In an infinite homogeneous medium, the energy density U_{00} due to a unit amplitude point source located at $\mathbf{x}_0$ is given by

$$U_{00}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{3}{4\pi\ell^*}\frac{e^{-\kappa|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|} \ , \tag{68}$$

where $\kappa = \sqrt{3\mu_a/\ell^*}$. Using this result, we find that U_{01} is given by

$$U_{01}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{i}{4}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0\int d^3x' G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}')U_{00}(\mathbf{x}') \ , \tag{69}$$

where

$$G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = \frac{3}{4\pi\ell^*}\frac{e^{-\kappa|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} \tag{70}$$

is the diffusion Green's function. Carrying out the above integration, we obtain

$$U_{01}(\mathbf{x}) = i\frac{\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0}{4\pi\kappa\ell^{*2}}e^{-\kappa|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|}\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})\left[1+O\left(Q|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|\right)\right] \ . \tag{71}$$

The above formula holds in the regime of low acoustic frequency. It follows from (67) that U_{11} is given by

$$U_{11}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0\int d^3x' G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}')\mathrm{Im}U_{01}(\mathbf{x}') \ . \tag{72}$$

Using (71) and performing the indicated integration, we find that

$$U_{11}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0)^2}{4\pi(\kappa\ell^*)^3}e^{-\kappa|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|}\cos^2(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})\left[1+O(Q|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|)\right] \ . \tag{73}$$

Note that when $\kappa \to 0$, which corresponds to a non-absorbing medium, the above formulas for U_{01} and U_{11} exhibit a divergence.

A more careful evaluation of the integral (69) yields

$$U_{01}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{i\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0}{64\pi} \sum_m e^{im\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}_0} \int_0^1 ds\, e^{ism\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \frac{e^{-\beta(s)|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|}}{\beta(s)}, \tag{74}$$

where the sum is over $m \in \{-1, 1\}$ and

$$\beta(s) = \sqrt{\kappa^2 + m^2\mathbf{Q}^2 s(1-s^2)}. \tag{75}$$

Using this result and (72) we obtain

$$U_{11}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0)^2}{512\pi} \sum_{m,n} e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot(m\mathbf{x}+n\mathbf{x}_0)} \int_0^1 ds \int_0^{1-s} dt$$
$$\times\, e^{-i\mathbf{p}(s,t)\cdot\mathbf{r}} \frac{e^{-\phi(s,t)|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|}}{\phi^2(s,t)} \left(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0| + \frac{2}{\phi(s,t)}\right), \tag{76}$$

where

$$\mathbf{p}(s,t) = (ms - nt)\mathbf{Q}\ , \tag{77}$$
$$\phi(s,t) = \sqrt{\kappa^2 + (s+t)\mathbf{Q}^2 - p^2(s,t)}\ . \tag{78}$$

See Appendix C for the derivation of (76). In Figure 2 we show a contour plot of the energy density U_{11} for a source located at the origin. The physical parameters were chosen to be $Q = 10$ cm^{-1} and $\kappa = 1$ cm^{-1}, which are typical in biomedical applications.

V. SMALL ABSORBERS

In this section we consider the acousto-optic effect generated by a small absorbing inhomogeneity. As an application, we calculate the sensitivity of detection of the absorber. For simplicity, we work in the half-space geometry in which the optical source and detector are located on a planar boundary.

A. Half-space geometry

We consider a homogeneous medium that occupies the half-space $z < 0$. The half-space $z > 0$ is taken to be vacuum. In this setting, the energy densities U_{00}, U_{01} and U_{11} obey

$$-\frac{1}{3}\ell^*\Delta U_{00} + \mu_a U_{00} = 0\ , \tag{79}$$
$$-\frac{1}{3}\ell^*\Delta U_{01} + \mu_a U_{01} = \frac{i}{4}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0 \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) U_{00}\ , \tag{80}$$
$$-\frac{1}{3}\ell^*\Delta U_{11} + \mu_a U_{11} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0 \cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{Im} U_{01}\ , \tag{81}$$

and satisfy the boundary conditions

$$U_{00}(\mathbf{x}) + \ell\hat{\mathbf{n}}\cdot\nabla U_{00}(\mathbf{x}) = S_0\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0)\ , \tag{82}$$
$$U_{01}(\mathbf{x}) + \ell\hat{\mathbf{n}}\cdot\nabla U_{01}(\mathbf{x}) = 0\ , \tag{83}$$
$$U_{11}(\mathbf{x}) + \ell\hat{\mathbf{n}}\cdot\nabla U_{11}(\mathbf{x}) = 0\ , \tag{84}$$

on the plane $z = 0$ with outward unit normal $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$. Here the parameter ℓ is the extrapolation distance and the right hand side of (82) corresponds to a point source located on the boundary at the position $\mathbf{x}_0$ with strength S_0.

The Green's function G_D obeys

$$-\frac{1}{3}\ell^*\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') + \mu_a G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') \tag{85}$$

along with the homogeneous boundary condition

$$G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') + \ell\hat{\mathbf{n}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = 0\ . \tag{86}$$

It can be seen that the half-space Green's function, denoted $G_D^{(0)}$ can be expanded into plane waves of the form [28]

$$G_D^{(0)}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}')} g(z,z';\mathbf{p})\ , \tag{87}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (\boldsymbol{\rho}, z)$ and

$$g(z,z';\mathbf{p}) = A_1(\mathbf{p})e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p})|z-z'|} + A_2(\mathbf{p})e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p})|z+z'|}\ , \tag{88}$$

$$A_1(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2\lambda(\mathbf{p})}\ , \quad A_2(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1-\lambda(\mathbf{p})\ell}{2\lambda(\mathbf{p})(1+\lambda(\mathbf{p})\ell)}\ , \tag{89}$$
$$\lambda(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\kappa^2 + p^2}\ . \tag{90}$$

B. Point absorber

We now consider the effect of a small absorbing inhomogeneity. The absorption coefficient is taken to be

$$\mu_a(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mu}_a + \delta\mu_a V\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_1)\ , \tag{91}$$

where $\bar{\mu}_a$ is constant and $\delta\mu_a$, V, and $\mathbf{x}_1$ are the strength of the absorber, its volume and position, respectively. The Green's function G_D obeys the integral equation

$$G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = G_D^{(0)}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') + \int d^3y G_D^{(0)}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\eta(\mathbf{y})G_D(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}')\ , \tag{92}$$

where $\eta = \mu_a - \bar{\mu}_a$. If the absorber is relatively weak, so that $\delta\mu_a \ll \bar{\mu}_a$, then we can calculate the Green's function G_D by making use of the Born approximation. We thus obtain

$$G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = G_D^{(0)}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') + \delta\mu_a V G_D^{(0)}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}_1)G_D^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}')\ , \tag{93}$$

where we have replaced G_D on the right hand side of (92) with $G_D^{(0)}$. Using this result, along with

$$U_{00}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{S_0}{\ell} G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}_0)\ , \tag{94}$$



FIG. 3. (Color online) Δ as a function of the depth z_1 of the absorber for parallel and perpendicular orientations of the acoustic wavevector $\mathbf{Q}$.

we can now calculate U_{01} and U_{11} from (69) and (72), respectively. For simplicity, we assume that the source and detector are located at the origin. We then find that

$$U_{01}(0) = ic_{01} \sum_m \left[F_m(0) - 2\alpha\kappa^2 \,\mathrm{Re}\, G_D(0,\mathbf{x}_1) F_m(\mathbf{x}_1)\right] \tag{95}$$

and

$$U_{11}(0) = c_{11} \sum_{m,n} \left[H_{mn}(0) - 2\alpha k_0^2 \,\mathrm{Re}\, \{G_D(0,\mathbf{x}_1) H_{mn}(\mathbf{x}_1)\} - \alpha k_0^2 F_m(\mathbf{x}_1) F_n^*(\mathbf{x}_1)\right], \tag{96}$$

where $\alpha = (\delta\mu_a V)/\bar{\mu}_a$. Here F_m and H_{mn} are defined in Appendix D, $c_{01} = 3\gamma\varepsilon k_0 S_0/8\ell^*\ell^2$, and $c_{11} = 9\gamma^2\varepsilon_0^2 k_0^2 S_0/32\ell^{*2}\ell^2$.

We now estimate the sensitivity of the acousto-optic measurement to the presence of a small absorbing object. We work in the half-space geometry, in which the source and detector coincide, and are collinear with the point absorber. We define the relative change in intensity as

$$\Delta = \frac{|U_{11} - U_{11}(\delta\mu_a = 0)|}{|U_{11}(\delta\mu_a = 0)|} \,. \tag{97}$$

The quantity Δ can be interpreted as the precision with which the intensity $I_{11} \propto U_{11}$ can be measured relative to the intensity in the absence of the absorber. For a fixed value of Δ, we can then estimate the threshold for the detection of the absorbing object, namely if Δ exceeds the experimental noise level we will say that an object is detectable.

Figure 3 shows a plot of Δ as a function of the distance z_1 of the absorber from the source and detector, for different values of the absorption contrast $\delta\mu_a/\bar{\mu}_a$. We consider separately the cases where the acoustic wave vector $\mathbf{Q}$ is parallel or perpendicular to the line containing the source and detector. We see that for a noise level $\Delta = 1\%$, it is possible to detect the object at a depth of 0.9 cm. We note that the parallel orientation of $\mathbf{Q}$ is more favorable. At lower contrast, the depth at which the object can be detected decreases, while at higher contrast, the depth increases (not shown).

VI. DISCUSSION

We have derived the radiative transport equations that govern the acousto-optic effect in a random medium. Several comments on our results are necessary. First, the regime $\epsilon \ll \delta$, which corresponds to large-amplitude pressure waves, requires a theory that accounts for hydrodynamic interactions. Such interactions introduce short-range correlations in the susceptibility that would necessitate an analysis beyond the theory we have presented. Second, effects due to polarization of the optical field have not been discussed. Recent progress on polarized radiative transport and diffusion may lead to new results in this direction [36, 37]. Third, the detection thresholds we have obtained must be considered to be best-case estimates. We have not directly considered the effects of systematic errors in positioning of the source and detector or other experimental parameters. Fourth, when the medium is not known to consist of isolated inhomogeneities, it is of interest to recover the spatial dependence of the absorption. This inverse problem has so far only been studied for the case of the incoherent acousto-optic effect [20, 29–35]. This paper provides the necessary radiative transport and diffusion equations to study the coherent problem. These and other topics will be the subjects of future works.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Valuable discussions with Guillaume Bal, Claude Boccara and Francis Chung are gratefully acknowledged. This work was supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-1619907.

APPENDIX A

In this Appendix we derive (36)–(38). To proceed, we introduce the Wigner transform of the vectors F and G by

$$W[F,G](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) = \int e^{-i\mathbf{y}\cdot\mathbf{k}} F\left(\mathbf{x}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{y}\right) G^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{y}\right)\, d^3y. \tag{98}$$

We also define $F^{(\pm)} = F(\mathbf{x}\pm\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{y})$. If ϕ_ϵ is a solution of (30) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = W[\epsilon^2\Delta\phi_\epsilon + k_0^2\left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\sqrt{\epsilon}\eta(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon)\right)\phi_\epsilon + \frac{\epsilon k_0^2}{2}\left(\gamma\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\sqrt{\epsilon}\eta(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon)\right)\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})A\phi_\epsilon\,,\,\phi_\epsilon] - \\ W[\phi_\epsilon,\,\epsilon^2\Delta\phi_\epsilon + k_0^2\left(\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\sqrt{\epsilon}\eta(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon)\right)\phi_\epsilon + \frac{\epsilon k_0^2}{2}\left(\gamma\varepsilon_0 + 4\pi\sqrt{\epsilon}\eta(\mathbf{x}/\epsilon)\right)\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})A\phi_\epsilon]. \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

We now write (99) in terms of $W_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) = W[\phi_\epsilon,\phi_\epsilon](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k})$, where ϕ_ϵ satisfies (30). First, we observe that

$$\left(\Delta\phi_\epsilon^{(-)}\right)\phi_\epsilon^{(+)\dagger} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{2}{\epsilon}\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}\right)^2 \phi_\epsilon^{(-)}\phi_\epsilon^{(+)\dagger}, \tag{100}$$

and

$$\phi_\epsilon^{(-)}\left(\Delta\phi_\epsilon^{(+)}\right)^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{2}{\epsilon}\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}\right)^2 \phi_\epsilon^{(-)}\phi_\epsilon^{(+)\dagger}. \tag{101}$$

Thus

$$W[\Delta\phi_\epsilon,\phi_\epsilon] - W[\phi_\epsilon,\Delta\phi_\epsilon] = -2i\frac{\mathbf{k}}{\epsilon}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon. \tag{102}$$

Next, we observe that

$$W\left[\eta\phi_\epsilon,\phi_\epsilon\right] = \int \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon}}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{\eta}(\mathbf{p}) W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right) d^3p, \tag{103}$$

and

$$W\left[\phi_\epsilon,\eta\phi_\epsilon\right] = \int \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon}}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{\eta}(\mathbf{p}) W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right) d^3p. \tag{104}$$

In addition, for a constant matrix A we have

$$\begin{aligned} W\left[\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})A\phi_\epsilon,\phi_\epsilon\right](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) &= \int e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\frac{1}{2}\left[\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{Q})+\delta(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{Q})\right] A W_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{p})\, d^3p \\ &= \frac{1}{2}A\left[e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right) + e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

Likewise

$$W\left[\phi_\epsilon,\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})A\phi_\epsilon\right](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2}\left[e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right) + e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right] A^{\dagger}. \tag{106}$$

Finally we have,

$$\begin{aligned} W\left[\eta\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})A\phi_\epsilon,\phi_\epsilon\right] &= A\int \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon}}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{\eta}(\mathbf{p}')\frac{(2\pi)^3}{2}\left[\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{Q})+\delta(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{Q})\right] W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}'}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{p}\right) d^3p\, d^3p', \\ &= \frac{1}{2}A\int \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon}}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{\eta}(\mathbf{p}')\left[e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}'}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right) + e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}'}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right] d^3p', \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

and

$$W\left[\phi_\epsilon,\eta\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x})A\phi_\epsilon\right] = \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon}}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{\eta}(\mathbf{p}')\left[e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\frac{\mathbf{p}'}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right) + e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} W_\epsilon\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\frac{\mathbf{p}'}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right] A^{\dagger} d^3p'. \tag{108}$$

Applying the above identities to (99), we see that W_ϵ satisfies

$$\mathbf{k}\cdot\nabla W_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k})+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}L_1+L_2+\sqrt{\epsilon}L_3\right)W_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k})=0, \tag{109}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1Z &= 2\pi i k_0^2\int\frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon}}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{\eta}(\mathbf{p})\left[Z\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right)-Z\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right)\right]d^3p,\\
L_2Z &= \frac{\gamma\varepsilon_0 i}{8}k_0^2A\left[e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}Z\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)+e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}Z\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right]\\
&\quad-\frac{\gamma\varepsilon_0 i}{8}k_0^2\left[e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}Z\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)+e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}Z\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right]A^\dagger,\\
L_3Z &= \frac{\pi i}{2}k_0^2A\int\frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon}}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{\eta}(\mathbf{p})\left[e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}Z\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)+e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}Z\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right]d^3p\\
&\quad-\frac{\pi i}{2}k_0^2\int\frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon}}{(2\pi)^3}\hat{\eta}(\mathbf{p})\left[e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}Z\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)+e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}Z\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\mathbf{Q}\right)\right]A^\dagger d^3p.
\end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

APPENDIX B

In this Appendix we derive (47). We begin by defining

$$\tilde{W}_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k})=2\pi k_0^2\tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{q})\frac{W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}/2)-W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}/2)}{\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{k}+i\theta}, \tag{111}$$

where θ is a small positive regularization parameter. Note that if

$$\langle\eta(\mathbf{r})\eta(\mathbf{r}')\rangle=C(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|), \tag{112}$$

then

$$\langle\tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{k})\tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{k}')\rangle=2\pi^3\delta(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}')\tilde{C}(|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'|/2). \tag{113}$$

We define the quantity $T_\pm$ by

$$T_\pm=\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}/\epsilon}\langle\tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{p})W_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{p}/2)\rangle. \tag{114}$$

From (111) it follows that

$$T_\pm=2\pi k_0^2\int\frac{d^3p\,d^3q}{(2\pi)^6}e^{i(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q})\cdot\xi}\langle\tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{p})\eta(\mathbf{q})\rangle\frac{W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{p}/2+\mathbf{q}/2)-W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{p}/2-\mathbf{q}/2)}{\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{p}/2)+i\theta}. \tag{115}$$

Upon substitution of (113) into (115) we obtain

$$T_\pm=\pm2\pi k_0^2\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\tilde{C}(|\mathbf{p}|)\frac{W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k})-W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p})}{\mp\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}/2)+i\theta}. \tag{116}$$

Making the transformation $\mathbf{p}\to\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}$ we find

$$T_\pm=\pm2\pi k_0^2\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\tilde{C}(|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|)\frac{W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k})-W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p})}{\pm(k^2-p^2)/2+i\theta}, \tag{117}$$

from which we see that

$$T_+-T_-=2\pi k_0^2\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\tilde{C}(|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|)\left[W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k})-W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p})\right]\frac{-(2\theta)i}{(\theta)^2+(k^2-p^2)^2/4}\ . \tag{118}$$

Using the fact that

$$\lim_{\theta\to0}\frac{\theta}{\theta^2+x^2}=\pi\delta(x) \tag{119}$$

we obtain

$$T_+-T_-=4\pi^2ik_0^2\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\delta\left(\frac{k^2}{2}-\frac{p^2}{2}\right)\tilde{C}(|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|)\left[W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p})-W_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k})\right], \tag{120}$$

which corresponds to the right hand side of (47).

APPENDIX C

In this Appendix we derive (76). We proceed by taking the Fourier transform of (69), noting that

$$\tilde{G}_D(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{3}{\ell^*}\frac{1}{k^2+\kappa^2}\ . \tag{121}$$

Thus

$$\tilde{U}_{01}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{i\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0}{8} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}_0}\sum_m e^{im\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}_0}\frac{1}{k^2+\kappa^2}\frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{Q})^2+\kappa^2}, \tag{122}$$

where the sum is over $m\in\{-1,1\}$. We next observe that for positive constants A and B,

$$\frac{1}{AB} = \int_0^1 ds \frac{1}{[As+(1-s)B]^2}. \tag{123}$$

Upon application of this identity and taking an inverse Fourier transform, we find that (122) becomes

$$U_{01}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{i\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0}{8(2\pi)^3}\sum_m e^{im\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}_0}\int_0^1 ds\int d^3k\ e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0)}\frac{1}{[(k^2+\kappa^2)(1-s)+s(\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{Q})^2+s\kappa^2]^2}. \tag{124}$$

Next, let $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0$, $\mathbf{k}'=\mathbf{k}-sm\mathbf{Q}$ and

$$\beta(s) = \sqrt{\kappa^2+m^2\mathbf{Q}^2 s(1-s^2)}. \tag{125}$$

Then

$$U_{01}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{i\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0}{8(2\pi)^3}\sum_m e^{im\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}_0}\int_0^1 ds\, e^{i\mathbf{r}\cdot sm\mathbf{Q}}\int d^3k'\ e^{i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{r}}\frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}'^2+\beta^2)^2}. \tag{126}$$

Performing the integral over $\mathbf{k}'$ we obtain

$$U_{01}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{i\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0}{64\pi}\sum_m e^{im\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}_0}\int_0^1 ds\, e^{ism\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\frac{e^{-\beta(s)r}}{\beta(s)}. \tag{127}$$

Similarly, we can obtain an expression for U_{11} of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{11}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0}{2}\int d^3x' G_D(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}')\mathrm{Im}U_{01}(\mathbf{x}') \\
&= \frac{(\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0)^2}{8}\int\int d^3x'\, d^3x'' G_D(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}')G_D(\mathbf{x}'-\mathbf{x}'')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}'')G_D(\mathbf{x}''-\mathbf{x}_0) \\
&= \frac{(\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0)^2}{32}\sum_{m,n}\int d^3x'\, d^3x'' G_D(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')e^{im\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}'}G_D(\mathbf{x}'-\mathbf{x}'')e^{in\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}''}G_D(\mathbf{x}''-\mathbf{x}_0) \\
&= \frac{(\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0)^2}{32}\sum_{m,n} e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot(m\mathbf{x}+n\mathbf{x}_0)}\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{Q})^2+\kappa^2}\frac{1}{k^2+\kappa^2}\frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}-n\mathbf{Q})^2+\kappa^2}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

Next, we observe that for positive constants A, B and C,

$$\frac{1}{ABC} = 2\int_0^1 dx\int_0^{1-x} dy\frac{1}{[Ax+By+C(1-x-y)]^3}. \tag{129}$$

Upon substitution of (129) into the final line of (128) and letting $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0$, we obtain

$$U_{11}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0)^2}{16}\sum_{m,n} e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot(m\mathbf{x}+n\mathbf{x}_0)}\int_0^1 ds\int_0^{1-s} dt\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\frac{1}{[k^2+2\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{p}+p^2+\gamma^2(s,t)]^3}, \tag{130}$$

where $\mathbf{p}=(ms-nt)\mathbf{Q}$ and

$$\gamma(s,t)=\sqrt{\kappa^2+(sm^2+tn^2)Q^2-\mathbf{p}^2(s,t)}=\sqrt{\kappa^2+(s+t)Q^2-\mathbf{p}^2(s,t)}. \tag{131}$$

Changing variables in (130) yields

$$\begin{aligned} U_{11}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{(\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0)^2}{16}\sum_{m,n} e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot(m\mathbf{x}+n\mathbf{x}_0)}\int_0^1 ds\int_0^{1-s} dt\, e^{-i\mathbf{p}(s,t)\cdot\mathbf{r}}\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\frac{1}{(k^2+\gamma^2)^3}\\ &= \frac{(\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0)^2}{512\pi}\sum_{m,n} e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot(m\mathbf{x}+n\mathbf{x}_0)}\int_0^1 ds\int_0^{1-s} dt\, e^{-i\mathbf{p}(s,t)\cdot\mathbf{r}}\frac{e^{-\gamma r}}{\gamma^2}\left(r+\frac{2}{\gamma}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

APPENDIX D

In this Appendix we derive (95) and (96). Substituting (91) and (93) into (69) and (72), respectively we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_{00}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{S_0}{\ell^2}\left[G_D(\mathbf{x},0)-\kappa^2\alpha G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}_1)G_D(\mathbf{x}_1,0)\right],\\ U_{01}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{3\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0 S_0}{4\ell^*\ell^2}\left[\int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x' G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}')G_D(\mathbf{x}',0)-\alpha\kappa^2 G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}_1)\int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x' G_D(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}')G_D(\mathbf{x}',0)\right]\\ &\quad -\alpha\kappa^2\frac{3\gamma\varepsilon_0 k_0 S_0}{4\ell^*\ell^2}\int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x' G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}')G_D(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}_1)G_D(\mathbf{x}_1,0),\\ U_{11}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{9\gamma^2\varepsilon_0^2 k_0^2 S_0}{8(\ell^*)^2\ell^2}\left[\int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x' d^3x'' G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}')G_D(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}'')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}'')G_D(\mathbf{x}'',0)\right]\\ &\quad -\alpha\kappa^2\frac{9\gamma^2\varepsilon_0^2 k_0^2 S_0}{8(\ell^*)^2\ell^2}G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}_1)\int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x'\int_{z''\geq 0} d^3x'' G_D(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}')G_D(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}'')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}'')G_D(\mathbf{x}'',0)\\ &\quad -\alpha\kappa^2\frac{9\gamma^2\varepsilon_0^2 k_0^2 S_0}{8(\ell^*)^2\ell^2}\int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x'\int_{z''\geq 0} d^3x'' G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}')G_D(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}'')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}'')G_D(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}_1)G_D(\mathbf{x}_1,0)\\ &\quad -\alpha\kappa^2\frac{9\gamma^2\varepsilon_0^2 k_0^2 S_0}{8(\ell^*)^2\ell^2}\int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x'\, G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}')G_D(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}_1)\int_{z''\geq 0} d^3x'' G_D(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}'')\cos(\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{x}'')G_D(\mathbf{x}'',0). \end{aligned} \tag{133}$$

To proceed further we write $\mathbf{Q}=(\mathbf{Q}_\perp,Q_\parallel)$, and define the functions F_m and H_{mn} by

$$\begin{aligned} F_m(\mathbf{x}) &= \int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x' G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')e^{imQ_\parallel z'}e^{im\mathbf{Q}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}'}G_D(\mathbf{x}',0),\\ H_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) &= \int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x'\int_{z''\geq 0} d^3x''\, G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')e^{imQ_\parallel z'}e^{im\mathbf{Q}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}'}G_D(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}'')e^{inQ_\parallel z''}e^{in\mathbf{Q}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}''}G_D(\mathbf{x}'',0). \end{aligned} \tag{134}$$

Observe that $G_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')=G_D^*(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x})$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x' G_D(0,\mathbf{x}')e^{imQ_\parallel z'}e^{im\mathbf{Q}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}'}G_D(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}) &= \int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x' G_D^*(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')e^{imQ_\parallel z'}e^{im\mathbf{Q}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}'}G_D^*(\mathbf{x}',0)\\ &= F_{-m}^*(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \tag{135}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{z'\geq 0} d^3x'\int_{z''\geq 0} d^3x''\, G_D(0,\mathbf{x}')e^{imQ_\parallel z'}e^{im\mathbf{Q}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}'}G_D(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}'')e^{inQ_\parallel z''}e^{in\mathbf{Q}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}''}G_D(\mathbf{x}'',\mathbf{x}) = H_{-n,-m}^*(\mathbf{x}). \tag{136}$$

Using the above results, we can write the data $U_{11}(0)$ as

$$U_{11}(0)=U_{11}^{(0)}(0)-\frac{\alpha\kappa^2 c}{4}\sum_{m,n}\left[G_D(\mathbf{x}_1,0)H_{mn}^*(\mathbf{x}_1)+G_D^*(\mathbf{x}_1,0)H_{mn}(\mathbf{x}_1)+F_m(\mathbf{x}_1)F_n^*(\mathbf{x}_1)\right], \tag{137}$$

where $c = 9\gamma^2\varepsilon_0^2 k_0^2 S_0/8(\ell^*)^2\ell^2$ and $U_{11}^{(0)} = \frac{c}{4}\sum_{m,n} H_{mn}(\mathbf{x}_1, 0)$ is the part of the measurement due solely to the homogeneous medium.

To evaluate F_m we begin by observing that if $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \neq \beta$,

$$\int_0^\infty dz' e^{-\lambda(p)|z-z'|} e^{-\beta z'} = \frac{2\lambda(p)e^{-\beta z}}{\lambda^2(p) - \beta^2} - \frac{e^{-\lambda(p)z}}{\lambda(p) - \beta}, \tag{138}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty dz' e^{-\lambda(p)|z+z'|} e^{-\beta z'} = \frac{e^{-\lambda(p)z}}{\lambda(p) + \beta}. \tag{139}$$

Thus, if $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \neq \beta$,

$$\int_0^\infty dz' g(z, z'; \mathbf{p}) e^{-\beta z'} = L_{\mathbf{p}}(\beta) e^{-\beta z} + R_{\mathbf{p}}(\beta) e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p})z}, \tag{140}$$

where

$$L_{\mathbf{p}}^{\beta} = \frac{2\lambda(\mathbf{p})A_1(\mathbf{p})}{\lambda^2(\mathbf{p}) - \beta^2}, \quad R_{\mathbf{p}}^{\beta} = \frac{A_2(\mathbf{p})}{\lambda(\mathbf{p}) + \beta} - \frac{A_1(\mathbf{p})}{\lambda(\mathbf{p}) - \beta}. \tag{141}$$

Additionally, if $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) = \beta$, then

$$\int_0^\infty dz' g(z, z'; \mathbf{p}) e^{-\beta z'} = [A_1(\mathbf{p}) + A_2(\mathbf{p})] \frac{e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p})z}}{2\lambda(\mathbf{p})} + A_1(\mathbf{p}) z e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p})z}. \tag{142}$$

Hence, if $\Omega = \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,:\, \mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{p} \pm \mathbf{Q}_\perp,\ \mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{p} \pm 2\mathbf{Q}_\perp\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_m(\mathbf{x}) &= \int_0^\infty dz' \int d^2\rho' \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}')} e^{i\mathbf{p}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}'} e^{im\mathbf{Q}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}'} e^{imQ_\parallel z'} g(z, z'; \mathbf{p})\, g(z', 0; \mathbf{p}') \\ &= \int_0^\infty dz' \int \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i(\mathbf{p}'+m\mathbf{Q}_\perp)\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}} e^{-(\lambda(\mathbf{p}')-imQ_\parallel)z'} g(z, z'; \mathbf{p}' + m\mathbf{Q}_\perp) \left[A_1(\mathbf{p}') + A_2(\mathbf{p}')\right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega} \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i(\mathbf{p}+m\mathbf{Q}_\perp)\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}} \left[A_1(\mathbf{p}) + A_2(\mathbf{p})\right] \left[L_{\mathbf{p}+m\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-imQ_\parallel} e^{-(\lambda(\mathbf{p})-imQ_\parallel)z} + R_{\mathbf{p}+m\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-imQ_\parallel} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p}+m\mathbf{Q}_\perp)z}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{143}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) &= \int_0^\infty dz' \int d^2\rho' \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}')} e^{im\mathbf{Q}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}'} e^{imQ_\parallel z'} g(z, z'; \mathbf{p})\, F_n(\boldsymbol{\rho}', z') \\ &= \int_0^\infty dz' \int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega} \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i(\mathbf{p}+(m+n)\mathbf{Q}_\perp)\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}} \left[A_1(\mathbf{p}) + A_2(\mathbf{p})\right] g(z, z'; \mathbf{p} + (m+n)\mathbf{Q}_\perp)\, e^{imQ_\parallel z'} \\ &\quad \times \left[L_{\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-inQ_\parallel} e^{-(\lambda(\mathbf{p})-inQ_\parallel)z'} + R_{\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-inQ_\parallel} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp)z'}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{144}$$

Note that if $m + n \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega} \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i(\mathbf{p}+(m+n)\mathbf{Q}_\perp)\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}} \left[A_1(\mathbf{p}) + A_2(\mathbf{p})\right] \\ &\quad \times \Big\{ L_{\mathbf{p}+(m+n)\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-i(m+n)Q_\parallel} L_{\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-inQ_\parallel} e^{-(\lambda(\mathbf{p})-i(n+m)Q_\parallel)z} + R_{\mathbf{p}+(m+n)\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-i(m+n)Q_\parallel} L_{\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-inQ_\parallel} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p}+(m+n)\mathbf{Q}_\perp)z} \\ &\quad + L_{\mathbf{p}+(m+n)\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp)-imQ_\parallel} R_{\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-inQ_\parallel} e^{-(\lambda(\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp)-imQ_\parallel)z} + R_{\mathbf{p}+(m+n)\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp)-imQ_\parallel} R_{\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-inQ_\parallel} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p}+(m+n)\mathbf{Q}_\perp)z} \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{145}$$

and if $m + n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega} \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}} \left[A_1(\mathbf{p}) + A_2(\mathbf{p})\right] \\ &\quad \times \Big\{ \frac{[A_1(\mathbf{p}) + A_2(\mathbf{p})]}{2\lambda(\mathbf{p})} L_{\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-inQ_\parallel} e^{-(\lambda(\mathbf{p})-i(n+m)Q_\parallel)z} + A_1(\mathbf{p}) L_{\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-inQ_\parallel} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p})z} z \\ &\quad + L_{\mathbf{p}}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp)-imQ_\parallel} R_{\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-inQ_\parallel} e^{-(\lambda(\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp)-imQ_\parallel)z} + R_{\mathbf{p}}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp)-imQ_\parallel} R_{\mathbf{p}+n\mathbf{Q}_\perp}^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-inQ_\parallel} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{p})z} \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{146}$$

[1] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1999).
[2] W. Leutz and G. Maret, Physica B **204**, 14 (1995)
[3] F.A. Marks, H.W. Tomlinson and G.W. Brooksby, Proc. SPIE **1888**, 500 (1993)
[4] M. Kempe, M. Larionov, D. Zaslavsky and A.Z. Genack, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 14, 1151 (1997)
[5] E. Granot, A. Lev, Z. Kotler, B.G. Sfez and H. Taitelbaum, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **18**, 1962 (2001)
[6] L.H. Wang, S.L. Jacques and X. Zhao, Opt. Lett. **20**, 629 (1995)
[7] L.H. Wang and Q. Shen, Opt. Lett. **23**, 561 (1998)
[8] L.-H.V. Wang and G. Ku, Opt. Lett. **23**, 975 (1998)
[9] G. Yao, S. Jiao and L.-H.V. Wang, Opt. Lett. **25** 734 (2000)
[10] J. Li and L.-H.V. Wang, Appl. Opt. **41**, 2079 (2002)
[11] J. Li, G. Ku and L.-H.V. Wang, Appl. Opt. **41** 6030 (2002)
[12] S. Leveque, A.C. Boccara, M. Lebec and H. Saint-Jalmes, Opt. Lett. **24**, 181 (1999)
[13] S. Leveque-Fort, J. Selb, L. Pottier and A.C. Boccara, Opt. Comm. **196**, 127 (2001)
[14] M. Atlan, B.C. Forget, F. Ramaz, A.C. Boccara and M. Gross, Opt. Lett. **30**, 1360 (2005)
[15] M. Gross, M. Lesaffre, F. Ramaz, P. Delaye, G. Roosen and A.C. Boccara, Eur. Phys. J. E **28**, 173
[16] A. Lev, Z. Kotler and B.G. Sfez, Opt. Lett. **25** 378 (2000)
[17] A. Lev and B.G. Sfez, Opt. Lett. **27**, 473 (2002)
[18] G. D. Mahan, W. E. Engler, J. J. Tiemann, and E. Uzgiris, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA **95**, 14015 (1998)
[19] S. Sakadzic and L. V. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 163902 (2006)
[20] G. Bal and J. C. Schotland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 043902 (2010)
[21] Hollmann, J. L., Horstmeyer, R., Yang, C. H. and DiMarzio, C. A., J. Biomed. Opt. **19**, 035005 (2014)
[22] H. M. Varma, K. P. Mohanan, N. Hyvonen, A. K. Nandakumaran and R. M. Vasu J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **28**, 2322-2332 (2011).
[23] M. C. W. van Rossum and Th. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 313 (1999).
[24] P. de Vries, D. van Coevorden and A. Lagendijk, Rev. of Mod. Phys. **70**, 447-466 (1998).
[25] L. Ryzhik, G. Papanicolaou and J.B. Keller, Wave Motion **24**, 327 (1996).
[26] A. Caze and J. C. Schotland, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **32**, 1475-1484 (2015).
[27] J. Duderstadt and W. Martin, *Transport Theory* (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1979).
[28] V. A. Markel and J. C. Schotland, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 056616 (2004).
[29] G. Bal and J. Schotland, Phys. Rev. E. **89**, 031201 (2014).
[30] G. Bal, F. Chung and J. C. Schotland, J. SIAM J. Math. Analysis **48**, 1332-1347 (2016).
[31] G. Bal and S. Moskow, Inverse Problems, **30**, 025005 (2014).
[32] Ammari, H., Bossy, E., Garnier, J, Nguyen, L. H. and Seppecher, L., Proc. American Mathematical Society, **142**, 3221-3236 (2014).
[33] Ammari, H., Nguyen, L. H. and Seppecher, L., J. Functional Analysis **267**, 4361-4398 (2014).
[34] Ammari, H., Garnier, J, Nguyen, L. H. and Seppecher, L., Communications in Partial Differential Equations **38**, 1737-1762 (2013).
[35] F. J. Chung and J. C. Schotland, Inverse Transport and Acousto-Optic Imaging, arXiv:1609.08118
[36] L. Borcea and J. Garnier, Wave Motion, **63**, 179-208 (2016).
[37] K. Vynck, R. Pierrat and R. Carminati, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 033851 (2016); ibid Phys. Rev. A **89**, 013842 (2014)